

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,144	07/31/2003		Jason S. Fairbanks	18645	2240
23556	7590 05/13/2004			EXAMINER	
		K WORLDWIDE	EDWARDS, NEWTON O		
401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.22111111,				1774	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action C	10/631,144	FAIRBANKS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE - Sabia communication ann	N Edwards	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, <del></del>	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	х рате Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or e						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Patent and Trademark Office		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/631,144

Art Unit: 1774

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-8, drawn to a method of making a fiber, classified in class 264, subclass 172.1+.

II. Claims 9-15, drawn to a method of making a nonwoven, classified in class156, subclass various.

If group I is elected, applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species under 35 U.S.C 121 for claim 5.

III. Claims 16-21, drawn to a crimped fiber, classified in class 428, subclass373.

If group III is elected Applicant is under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for claims 18 and 19.

IV. Claims 22-26, drawn to a nonwoven web, classified in class 442, subclass327+

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as providing, treating, winding.

Inventions Group III and Group IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this

Application/Control Number: 10/631,144

Art Unit: 1774

e

relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a tow or a yarn and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions Group II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as spinning, extruding, quenching, treating cutting, carding, and bonding.

A telephone call was made to Robert Ambrose on 5/3/2004 and 5/6/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Mr. Ambrose requested a written requirement for restriction.

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Edwards at telephone number 571-272-1521.

N. Edwards/af May 11, 2004

N.EDWARDS PRIMARY EXAMINER